1 2 3	ARTICLE XIV AMENDMENTS
4 5	SECTION 1401 GENERAL
6 7 8 9 10 11	The regulations, restrictions and boundaries set forth in this Ordinance may from time to time be amended, supplemented, changed or repealed by the Town Council, in accordance with rules and regulations for so doing as stated in Article 66B of the Annotated Code of Maryland.
12	SECTION 1402 PLANNING COMMISSION REVIEW
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Any proposed amendment, supplement or change shall be referred by the Town Council to the Planning Commission for an investigation and recommendation. The Planning Commission shall cause such investigation to be made as it deems necessary and may require the submission of all pertinent data and information by any person concerned; may hold such public hearings as provided by its own rules; and shall submit its report and recommendations to the Council within a reasonable length of time. The Planning Commission may, on its own volition, suggest amendments to this Ordinance to the Town Council.
23	SECTION 1403 PUBLIC HEARING
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	After receiving the recommendations of the Planning Commission, the Town Council shall hold a public hearing in relation to the proposed amendment, at which parties in interest and citizens shall have an opportunity to be heard. The time, place and nature of such hearing together with a summary of the proposed amendment shall be published in a paper of general circulation in Easton once a week for 2 successive weeks with the first such publication appearing at least 14 days prior to the hearing. In the case of a change in classification of a particular piece of property, the property shall also be posted.
33	SECTION 1404 BASIS FOR APPROVING REZONING
34 35 36 37	A. Where the purpose and effect of the proposed amendment is to change the zoning classification of a specific parcel of land, the Town Council shall make findings of fact in each specific case including, but not limited to, the following matters:
38 39 40	(1) The relationship of such proposed amendment to the Town's Comprehensive Plan.
41 42 43	(2) The recommendation of the Planning Commission.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31 32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	

41

42 43

(3) Population chang

- (4) Availability of public facilities.
- (5) Present and future transportation patterns.
- (6) Compatibility with existing and proposed development for the area.
- (7) Whether the applicant has demonstrated that there has been a substantial change in the character of the neighborhood where the property is located, that there was a mistake in the existing zoning classification or that the current zoning classification of the property deprives the owners thereof of all beneficial use of the property.

If the Town Council makes an affirmative finding of fact as to one or more of the standards set forth in Section 1404.A. (7), it may enact an ordinance changing the zoning classification upon the property. The fact that an applicant has shown change or mistake shall not require the Town Council to grant the requested rezoning and the Town Council shall have the authority to assign a zoning classification to the property different from that requested by the applicant if the Council determines that such classification is the most appropriate classification for the property. The ordinance shall be subject to approval by the Mayor in accordance with the provisions of the Town Charter relating to mayoral vetoes. In determining whether or not to enact an ordinance, the Council may consider, in addition to the factors outlined above, other factors it deems appropriate including but not limited to the degree to which the proposed amendment:

- 1. helps accomplish the coordinated, adjusted, and harmonious development of the Town and its environs in accordance with present and future needs;
- 2. promotes health, safety, morals, order, convenience, prosperity, and general welfare; including among other things, adequate provisions for traffic, the promotion of public safety, adequate provision for light and air, conservation of natural resources, the prevention of environmental pollution, the promotion of the healthful and convenient distribution of population;
- 3. exemplifies good civic design and arrangement and the stewardship of the Chesapeake Bay and the land as a universal ethic;
- 4. encourages the conservation of resources, including a reduction in resource consumption;

1 2		5. is located in at a location suitable for it given existing and reasonably foreseeable development; and
3 4		6. encourages appropriate and sustainable economic growth.
5 6	B.	A complete record of the hearing and the votes of all members of the local
7 8		legislative body shall be kept.
9 10	C.	The provisions of §1405 A shall not be applicable to floating zone map amendment applications.
11		······································
12	SECTION 14	05 TIME LIMITATION ON REHEARING REZONING APPLICATIONS
13		
14	A.	If the Town Council fails to enact an ordinance granting the requested rezoning,
15		no application for a reclassification will be accepted for filing by the Town for a
16 17		period of one year after the date of the Council's decision or the date of finality of any judicial review of the Council's decision, whichever is later.
18		any judicial review of the Council's decision, whichever is later.
19	B.	The provisions of Section 1403 relative to public hearings and official notice shall
20	Δ.	apply equally to all reclassifications.
21		Tr J - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
22	SECTION 14	406 AMENDMENT REQUIREMENTS IN THE CRITICAL AREA OVERLAY
23		DISTRICT
24		
25	(A)	The Mayor and Town Council may from time to time amend the provisions of this
26		Ordinance as they relate to the Critical Area Overlay District, amend the land use
27		management classification of properties in the Critical Area Overlay District or
28		amend the Critical Area District Boundary.
29	(D)	In addition the Massacrat Council shall assistant and assessment
30	(B)	In addition, the Mayor and Council shall review and propose any necessary
31 32		amendments, as required, to the land use management classification in the Critical Area Overlay District at least every four (4) years.
33		Area Overlay District at least every four (4) years.
34	(C)	All such amendments shall be approved by the Maryland Chesapeake Bay Critical
35	(0)	Area Commission as established in Subsection 8-1803 of the Critical Area Law,
36		Subtitle 18. Standards for Critical Area Commission approval of proposed
37		amendments are as set forth in the Critical Area Law, Subtitle 18 Subsection 8-
38		1809 (i). The Critical Area Commission process for approval of proposed
39		amendments are as set forth in the Critical Area Law, Subtitle 18, Subsection 8-
40		1809 (d).
41		
42	(D)	The procedure for amending the provisions of this Ordinance relating to the
43		Critical Area Overlay District shall be the same as that set forth for other

1		amendments to this Ordinance except as follows:
2		-
3		(1) Proposed amendments to the text of this Ordinance as it relates to the
4		Critical Area Overlay District may only be initiated by the Planning
5		Commission or the Mayor and Council.
6		
7		(2) Amendments involving specific properties shall first be submitted to the
8		Planning Commission.
9		
10		(3) For all proposed amendments the Planning Commission shall first hold a
11		public hearing relating thereto, as per Section 1403 of this Article.
12		
13		(4) The Planning Commission shall then forward the proposed amendments to
14		the Mayor and Council for a decision. If a favorable decision is made, the
15		Mayor and Council will forward the amendments to the Critical Area
16		Commission.
17		
18		(5) After receiving the recommendations of the Mayor and Council, the
19		Critical Area Commission will approve or disapprove the amendment. If
20		approved, the Mayor and Council shall hold a public hearing on the
21		proposed amendment in accordance with Section 1403 of this Article.
22		
23	(E)	Amendments to the Critical Area Overlay District Map may be permitted only
24		upon a proof of mistake in the existing Land Use Management Classification.